

FACULTY OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA WIA3003/WIB3003: ACADEMIC PROJECT II VIVA 2-ASSESSMENT FORM (PANEL)

Α.	A. Details												
Project Title:													
Stu	Student Name & Matric No.:												
Sup	Supervisor:												
P	B. An evaluation should be based on the rating scales.												
Ъ.	Alleval			ating scales.									
	None Does Not Meet Expectations Meets Expectations			Exceeds Expectations									
		0 1 2 3 4				5							
				Technic	al Evaluation (50	0%)							
	No	Weightage	Dimensions					Scale					
	1.	3%	Fulfillment of Obje				0	1	2	3	4	5	_
	2.	3%	Fulfillment of Requ	-			0	1	2	3	4	5	_
	3.	20% 10%	Design and Techr	-			0	1	2	3	4	5	_
	4. 5	3%	User Interface and	-	ence-CO2		0	1	2	3	4	5	_
	6.	5% 5% 3%		System Complexity–CO2			0	1	2	3	4	5	-
	7.	3%		Error-free and Error Handling –CO4 Testing Techniques –CO4			0	1	2	3	4	5	-
	8.	3% 5%	Stakeholder Collab		ve–CO1		0	1	2	3	4	5	-
				h evaluation = $x/5*$ (weightage)									-
					Is Evaluation (10	በ%)							
	9.	5%	Presentation skills	SOITSKI		<i>5 78)</i>	0	1	2	3	4	5	
	10.	5%	Problem Solving Sk	rills (Question a	nd Answer)		0	1	2	3	4	5	_
	10.	570						-	-	3	-		
С.	C. Other Comments / Suggestions												
D.	D. Panel												
Nam	Name:												
Sigr	Signature:												
Date	Date:												

FACULTY OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA WIA3003/WIB3003: ACADEMIC PROJECT II PANEL ASSESSMENT RUBRIC (TECHNICAL & SOFT SKILLS DIMENSION)

Score: On a scale from 1 (lowest performance) to 5 (highest performance), assign points to each dimension based on the criteria below.

No	Technical Dimensions/ Weightage	Nothing (0 points)	Does Not Meet Expectations (1-2 points)	Meets Expectations (3-4 points)	Exceeds Expectations (5 points)
1.	Fulfillment of Objectives	Zero fulfillment/ Not presented	Objectives partially fulfilled	Objectives sufficiently fulfilled	Objectives exceptionally fulfilled
2.	Fulfillment of Requirements/ Specifications	Zero fulfillment/ Not presented	Requirements/Specifications partially fulfilled	Requirements/Specifications sufficiently fulfilled	Requirements/Specifications exceptionally fulfilled
3.	Design and Technical Implementation	No development/ No demonstration	 Able to explain the design and implementation of the system partially Partially developed all proposed modules (e.g., system and database integration) 	 Able to explain the design and implementation of the system sufficiently Successfully developed all proposed modules (e.g., system and database integration) 	 Able to explain the design and implementation of the system outstandingly Exceptionally developed all proposed modules (e.g., additional features/add-on values on the proposed modules)
4.	User Interface and/or User Experience (e.g., usability, accessibility, quality of interaction)	No UI/UX developed and presented	 The interfaces of the system are not appealing, and the flow is illogical Unsatisfactory user experience 	 The interfaces of the system are appealing and the flow is logical Satisfactory user experience 	 The interfaces of the system are remarkably appealing and the flow is logical Highly satisfactory user experience
5.	System Complexity	No development/ No demonstration	The developed system is not complex	The developed system is complex	The developed system is highly complex

6	Error-free and Error Handling	No development/ No demonstration	 System developed with errors System developed without error-handling 	 System developed with acceptable errors System developed consists of error-handling 	 System developed without errors System developed consists of error-handling
7.	Testing Techniques	No testing technique adopted/conducted	• Conduct unit, integration, system testing with inadequate test case and test data.	 Conduct unit, integration, system testing with adequate test case and test data. 	 Conduct unit, integration, system testing with adequate test case and test data. Conduct User Acceptance Test successfully (if applicable)
8.	Collaboration with Stakeholder	No collaboration in the current semester	 Collaboration with stakeholders is rarely carried out Project progress and presentation shows lack of continuous collaboration with stakeholders 	Continuous collaboration with stakeholders is executed until project completion with/without UAT being performed and without deployment of the system	Continuous collaboration with stakeholders is executed until project completion including UAT and deployment of the system
					Total score (40 points): Total Percentage (50%):

No.	Soft skills Dimensions/ Weight	Does Not Meet Expectations (1-2 points)	Meets Expectations (3-4 points)	Exceeds Expectations (5 points)
1.	Presentation skills (Address SS1)	Pronunciation is mostly correct yet enunciation and articulation are still tentative. Speaker recovers from awkward pauses and proceeds. Vocalized fillers are noticeable but not excessive. Demonstrate poor non-verbal skills confidently -posture, eye contact	 Careful pronunciation supports coherence of presentation. Enunciation and articulation of words are mostly clear. Pauses were momentary and did not interrupt fluency of speech. Vocalized fillers are minimal and do not distract the audience. Demonstrate moderate non-verbal skills. (good posture, eye contact) 	Coherence of presentation strongly supported by correct pronunciation, confident enunciation and articulation. Pauses are purposeful and enhance fluency of speech. Virtually no vocalized fillers are noticeable. Demonstrate confident non-verbal skills. (good posture, eye contact)
2.	Problem solving Skills (Q and A) (Address SS4) •	Does not anticipate audience questions Makes an effort to address question Can address some questions Often responds poorly to questions	 Anticipate audience questions Makes an effort to address question Can address some questions Thoroughly responds to most questions 	Anticipates audience questions Understands audience questions Can integrate knowledge to answer questions Thoroughly responds to questions
				Total score (10 points): Total Percentage (10%):